

Riparian Adaptive Management Symposium

November 3-4, 2008

University of Washington • Olympic Natural Resources Center
1455 S Forks Avenue • Forks, Washington

Sponsored By USDA Forest Service Pacific Northwest Laboratory Washington State Department of Natural Resources – State Lands University of Washington Olympic Natural Resources Center

November 3 | Key Assumptions and Knowledge Gained

- 10:00 AM Welcome and Introductions
John Calhoun • University of Washington Olympic Natural Resources Center
Overview of symposium objectives and desired outcomes
- 10:20 AM Setting the Stage
Brian Boyle • University of Washington College of Forest Resources
Northwest Environmental Forum
A challenge to seek fresh perspectives on how the roles of those providing scientific analysis may coincide with the needs of those responsible for formulating policy
- 10:50 AM QUESTION 1 – What are the principal working hypotheses and key assumptions that underlay current riparian conservation strategies on federal, state, and private lands in western Washington?
George Ice • National Council for Air and Stream Improvement
Jim Sedell • National Fish and Wildlife Foundation
- 12:00 PM Lunch
- 1:00 PM QUESTION 2 – In light of current scientific understanding, what is the degree of both confidence and uncertainty for each of these working hypotheses and assumptions?
Facilitator: **Doug Martin** • Martin Environmental
- Physical Processes
Lee Benda • Earth Sciences Institute
- Aquatic Habitat/Populations and Riparian Processes
Bob Bilby • Weyerhaeuser Company
- Riparian Habitat/Populations
AJ Kroll • Weyerhaeuser Company
- Scale Considerations
Gordie Reeves • USDA Forest Service Pacific Northwest Laboratory

5:50 PM Wrap Up

6:00 PM Reception and Dinner

November 4 | Moving Forward, Priorities for Information Gathering

7:00 AM Breakfast

8:00 AM Introductory Comments

Doug Ryan • USDA Forest Service Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Recap of Day One and Overview and Desired Outcomes for Day Two

8:15 AM QUESTION 3 – If there is scientific consensus to revise underlying assumptions or working hypotheses, would this revision have important consequences for management strategies or policy goals?

Facilitator: **Craig Partridge** • Washington State Department of Natural Resources

Private Lands Perspective

Norm Schaaf • Merrill and Ring Company

Public Lands Perspective

Gretchen Nicholas • Washington State Department of Natural Resources

Dave Heller • USDA Forest Service, Region 6

Family Tree Farm Perspective

Steve Stinson • Family Forest Foundation

Tribal Forestry Perspective

Jim Peters • Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission

10:45 AM QUESTION 4 – Which are the critical assumptions in terms of both scientific uncertainty and management/policy implications?

Facilitator: **Craig Partridge** • Washington State Department of Natural Resources

All participants are invited to identify key knowledge gaps or scientific uncertainties that are currently limiting implementation of riparian management strategies to achieve policy goals.

12:45 PM Closing Remarks

Gretchen Nicholas • Washington State Department of Natural Resources

Recap of Day Two, highlight outcomes and potential follow-up

1:00 PM Adjourn